# Notes on Orientation and Integration

### Ruoyu Xu

## 1 Orientation Part I

In this section, we define orientable manifold using the concept of frames.

### Definition 1.1 (Orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

Two ordered bases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be equivalent if the change-of-basis matrix has positive determinant. An orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an equivalence class of ordered bases.

To define orientation for general manifold, intuitively, we want to orient the tangent space at each point in a "coherent" manner.

#### Definition 1.2 (Frame)

A frame for the tangent bundle  $TM \to M$  over an open set U is a collection of nowhere-vanishing (possibly discontinuous) vector fields  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , such that  $(X_{1,p}, \dots, X_{n,p})$  forms a basis of  $T_pM$ for every  $p \in U$ .

The definition of frame can be generalised to any vector bundle, but we focus on tangent bundle for now. We say two frames are equivalent if the change-of-basis matrix has positive determinant at every point in M. Now define **pointwise orientation**  $\mu$  to be the assignment of orientation  $\mu_p$  to each  $T_pM$ . In other words,  $\mu$  is the equivalent class of a (possibly discontinuous) global frame. We say  $\mu$  is continuous at p if p has an open neighbourhood U such that there exist a continuous frame  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , which satisfies  $\mu_q = [(X_{1,q}, \dots, X_{n,q})]$  for every  $q \in U$ . An **orientation** of M is an pointwise orientation that is continuous everywhere. A manifold is said to be **orientable** if it has an orientation. A manifold together with an orientation is said to be **oriented**.

#### Proposition 1.3

A connected orientable manifold M has exactly two orientations.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two orientations on M. Define function  $f: M \to \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu_p = \nu_p \\ -1 & \mu_p \neq \nu_p \end{cases}$$

For any  $p \in M$ , there is connected neighbourhood U such that  $\mu_q = [(X_{1,q}, \dots, X_{n,q})], \nu_q = [(Y_{1,q}, \dots, Y_{n,q})]$ . The determinant of change-of-basis matrix is a continuous function, so it is everywhere-positive or everywhere-negative, so f is constant on U. It is easy to prove that a locally constant function on a connected set is constant, so the proposition is proved.

## 2 Orientation Part II

In this section, we characterise orientation by nowhere-vanishing top forms, which turns out to be convenient in many cases.

We first discuss the pullback of differential forms. Suppose  $F: N \to M$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  map of manifolds. There is differential at  $p \in N$ 

$$F_{*,p}: T_pN \to T_pM.$$

Now define pullback map  $F_{*,p}^* : \bigwedge^k T_p M \to \bigwedge^k T_p N$  of k-covector at p by

$$F_p^*(f)(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = f(F_{*,p}(v_1), \cdots, F_{*,p}(v_k)), \quad v_i \in T_p N.$$

This is a generalization of dual map. Define pullback map  $F^* : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^k(N)$  of differential k-form point-wise:

$$(F^*(\omega))_p = F^*_p(\omega_p).$$

Pullback map is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, respects wedge product, and commutes with exterior derivative (hence it is a chain map between de Rham complexes). Also notice covector fields have pullback while vector fields generally cannot be push forward. As a result, information can be more easily transferred between manifolds in the form of covector fields.

#### Proposition 2.1

Given smooth map  $F: N \to M$ , point  $p \in N$ ,  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  and  $(V, y^1, \dots, y^m)$  be coordinate charts about  $p \in N$  and  $F(p) \in M$  respectively. Then the pullback of  $dy^i$ 

$$F_p^*(dy^i) = \sum_j \frac{\partial F^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j = dF^i$$

where  $F^i = y^i \circ F$ .

**Proof:** Skipped.

An immediate consequence is that when m = n,  $F_p^*(dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^n) = JF(p) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ .

Now we give an equivalent definition of orientable manifold. An atlas  $\{(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})\}$  of M is said to be **oriented** if all transition functions  $g_{\alpha}\beta = \phi_{\alpha}\phi_{\beta}^{-1}$  are orientation-preserving, that is, their Jacobian determinant are everywhere positive. An **oriented manifold** is a manifold with oriented atlas.

#### Proposition 2.2

M is orientable if and only if it has an oriented atlas.

**Proof:** The proof can be found on [Tu] (Theorem 21.10). The idea is to show that a continuous pointwise orientation induces an oriented atlas, and vice versa.

#### Proposition 2.3

An n-manifold M is orientable if and only if it has a global nowhere vanishing n-form.

**Proof:** By Proposition 2.1,  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is orientation-preserving if and only if  $T^* dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  is a positive multiple of  $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : Let  $\omega$  be the nowhere vanishing *n*-form. Let  $\phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a chart,  $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  be *n*-form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then since  $\phi_{\alpha}^* dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  is nowhere vanishing, there exist nowhere vanishing real valued function  $f_{\alpha}$  on  $U_{\alpha}$  such that  $\phi_{\alpha}^* dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n = f_{\alpha} \omega$ . Apparently  $f_{\alpha}$  is ether everywhere positive or everywhere negative. "Flip" all charts with negative  $f_{\alpha}$  to ensure that every chart has positive  $f_{\alpha}$ . Now that every chart is in the same "direction" with  $\omega$ , it is easy to verify that  $(\phi_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\beta}^{-1})^*$ pulls  $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  to its positive multiple. So every transition function is orientation-preserving.

 $(\Rightarrow)$ : Use partition of unity to piece together  $\phi_{\alpha}^* dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ .

Any two global nowhere vanishing *n*-forms  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  on an orientable manifold M of dimension n differ by a nowhere vanishing function:  $\omega = f\omega'$ . If M is connected, then f is ether everywhere positive or everywhere negative. We say that  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  are equivalent if f is positive. Either class is called an **orientation** on M, written [M].

We now discuss orientation of manifold with boundary. A manifold M of dimension n with boundary is given by an atlas  $\{(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})\}$ , where  $U_{\alpha}$  is homeomorphic to ether  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or upper half space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . The boundary of M is an orientable (n-1)-manifold, as a result of the following lemma.

#### Lemma 2.4

Let  $T : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$  be a diffeomorphism of the upper half space with everywhere positive Jacobian determinant. T induces a diffeomorphism  $\overline{T}$  of  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n \approx \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  to itself, which has positive Jacobian determinant everywhere.

Proof: Lemma 3.4 on GTA82.

Given standard orientation  $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , the **induced orientation** on  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$  is defined by  $(-1)^n dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n-1}$  for n > 1, and -1 for n = 1. The **induced orientation** on  $\partial M$  is defined by the pullback of induced orientation on  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$  by coordinate chart.

## 3 Integration

The integration of top form of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined in the usual sense. In general, let M be an orientable manifold, choose an orientation [M]. Given a top form  $\tau \in \Omega^n_c(M)$ , we define its integral by partitioning  $\tau$  with respect to the oriented atlas, integrating individually the pullback of each component, and then taking the sum. Namely,

$$\int_{M} \tau = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \tau = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})} (\phi_{\alpha}^{-1})^{*} (\rho_{\alpha} \tau)$$

This is in fact well-defined.

#### Proposition 3.1

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

The definition of the integral is independent of the oriented atlas and the partition of unity.

**Proof:** We first observe that when T is a diffeomorphism from  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\int_U f \, dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = \int_V f \circ T \, |J(T)| \, dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n.$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have  $\int_V T^* \omega = \pm \int_U \omega$  where the sign depends on whether T is orientationpreserving.

Now we get to the proof of the proposition. Let  $\{(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})\}$  and  $\{(V_{\beta}, \psi_{\beta})\}$  be two oriented atlas of the same orientation,  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and  $\chi_{\beta}$  are partitions of unity subordinate to  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  and  $\{V_{\beta}\}$  respectively. We now have

$$\sum_{\alpha} \int_{U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \tau = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau.$$

Notice  $\rho_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta}\tau$  has support in  $S_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}$ . Now use the observation, we have

$$\int_{U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau = \int_{\phi_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha\beta})} (\phi_{\alpha}^{-1})^{*} (\rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau) = \int_{\psi_{\beta}(S_{\alpha\beta})} (\phi_{\alpha} \circ \psi_{\beta}^{-1})^{*} \left( (\phi_{\alpha}^{-1})^{*} (\rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau) \right) = \int_{V_{\beta}} \rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau.$$
$$\sum_{\alpha} \int_{U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \tau = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{V_{\beta}} \rho_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta} \tau = \sum_{\beta} \int_{V_{\beta}} \chi_{\beta} \tau.$$

The observation in the proof of Proposition 3.1 can be easily generalized. Let  $F: N \to M$  be a diffeomorphism, then

$$\int_N F^* \tau = \pm \int_M \tau.$$

We now prove the Stokes' Theorem.

#### Theorem 3.2 (Stokes' Theorem)

If  $\omega$  is an (n-1)-form with compact support on an oriented n-manifold M, and if  $\partial M$  is given the induced orientation, then

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega.$$

**Proof:** It is easy to prove Stokes' theorem for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n$  by direct calculation. For the general case, let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be an oriented atlas. By linearity, we only need to show that for each  $\alpha$ , there is

$$\int_M d \ \rho_\alpha \omega = \int_{\partial M} \rho_\alpha \omega.$$

To prove this, notice that  $\rho_{\alpha}\omega$  has compact support in  $U_{\alpha}$ , so we can shrink the domain of integration. Now we only need to show that

$$\int_{U_{\alpha}} d \ \rho_{\alpha} \omega = \int_{\partial U_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha} \omega$$

This is obvious since  $U_{\alpha}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , and we already know that Stokes' theorem is true for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

To end this section, we mention a useful theorem which makes computations easier. This theorem allow us to "chop up" the manifold into a finite number of pieces, and compute the integral on each piece separately by means of local parametrizations.

### Proposition 3.3 (Integration Over Parametrizations)

Let M be an oriented smooth n-manifold (with or without boundary), and let  $\omega$  be a compactly supported n-form on M.  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  are open domains of integration (bounded subset whose boundary has measure zero) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Given smooth maps  $F_i : \overline{D}_i \to M$  and let  $W_i = F_i(D_i)$ , if

- (i)  $F_i|_{D_i}$  are orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms;
- (ii)  $W_i$  do not intersect each other;
- (iii) supp  $\omega \subseteq \cup \overline{D}_i$ ;

then

$$\int \omega = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{D_i} F_i^* \omega.$$

**Proof:** By linearity of the definition of integration, it suffices to prove the proposition for  $\omega$  with its support inside a single chart. Now pullback the integration through the chart to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and recall the properties of integrals on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Details can be found on GTA218 (Proposition 16.8).